United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/653,222	09/03/2003	Jin Li	M4065.0735/P735	2741
45374 DICKSTEIN S	45374 7590 07/26/2007 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP		EXAMINER	
1825 EYE STREET, NW			NGUYEN, JOSEPH H	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/653,222	LI, JIN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joseph Nguyen	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 June 2007</u> .					
'=	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠` Claim(s) <u>21,27 and 47-55</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21,27 and 47-55</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a alaatian yaquiyanaant				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•		•			
Attachment(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21, 27, 47, 49, 53 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kochi et al. (US 6,188,094 B1).

Regarding claim 21, Kochi et al. discloses in **figure 1** a light system comprising an imager device comprising a substrate (101) having a plurality of photosensitive regions (102); and a substantially planar microlens array (108, 109) formed over said plurality of photosensitive regions; said microlens array comprising a first light conductor (108) having a plurality of concave recesses; and a second light conductor (109) within each recess and over substantially planar surfaces formed between said concave recesses of said first light conductor (108), . It is further noted that the system disclosed in figure 1 of Kochi et al. constitutes similar structure as the claimed structure, and therefore is capable of functioning as "light <u>detecting</u> system" herein. Further, elements 108, 109 together form the substantially planar microlens array that can be provided for a substantially planar imager device herein.

Regarding claim 27, Kochi et al. discloses in **figure 1** an integrated circuit comprising an imager device comprising a substrate (101) having a plurality of

Application/Control Number: 10/653,222 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

photosensitive regions (102); and a substantially planar microlens array (108, 109) formed over said plurality of photosensitive regions; said microlens array comprising a first light conductor (108) having a plurality of concave recesses, said plurality of concave recesses being coextensive, and a second light conductor (109) within each recess and over said first light conductor, said second light conductor (109) being coextensive with an adjacent second light conductor in at least a first plane and having a substantially planar surface, and readout circuitry (104) coupled to said plurality of photosensitive regions (102). Further, elements 108, 109 together form the substantially planar microlens array that can be provided for a substantially planar imager device herein.

Regarding claims 47 and 53, Kochi et al. discloses the first light conductor 108 has a first index of refraction and the second light conductor 109 has a second index of refraction that is different from said first index of refraction (col. 1, lines 53-56).

Regarding claims 49 and 55, Kochi et al. discloses at least the first conductor 108 is formed of material selected from the group consisting of photosensitive gelatin (col. 1, lines 36-39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 48, 54 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over figure 1 of Kochi et al. in view of figure 3A of Kochi et al.

Regarding claims 48 and 54, Kochi does not show in figure 1 the first index of refraction is less than the second index of refraction. However, Kochi et al. also discloses in figure 3A the first index of refraction n5 is less than the second index of refraction n4 such that light can be condensed onto photodiode having smaller area (see figure 3A). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious at the time of the present invention to modify figure 1 of Kochi et al. by including the first index of refraction being less than the second index of refraction such that light can be condensed onto photodiode having smaller area.

Regarding claim 50, Kochi et al. does not disclose in figure 1 a color filter formed over the second light conductor. However, Kochi et al. also discloses in figure 2 a color filter can be formed under the mircolenses 17 (col. 5, lines 21-23), which would be above the second light conductor 15 such that light coming through the microlens toward the light conductors 14, 15 can be color filtered. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious at the time of the present invention to modify figure 1 of Kochi et al. by including a color filter formed above the second light conductor such that light coming through the microlens toward the light conductors 14, 15 can be color filtered.

Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kochi et al. in view of figure 1 of the acknowledged prior art (APA).

Regarding claim 51, Kochi et al. does not disclose in figure 1 a color filter formed below the first light conductor. However, figure 1 of (APA) shows a color filter 22 below the first light conductor 12. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious at the time of the present invention to modify Kochi et al. by having a color filter formed below the first light conductor to allow predominantly light of a specific respective color to pass through an imaging array (page 3, lines 1-5 of the present invention).

Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kochi et al.

Regarding claim 52, Kochi et al. discloses in figure 1 a portion of the second light conductor 109 over said planar surface of the first light conductor 108 must have a certain thickness, not necessarily the claimed thickness. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to Kochi et al. by having a portion of the second light conductor over said planar surface of the first light conductor having the claimed thickness, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Art Unit: 2815

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 06/12/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 21 and 27, applicant argues Kochi et al. does not disclose or suggest a "substantially planar microlens array providing for a substantially planar microlens array for providing a substantially planar imager device" as recited in now amended claims 21 and 27. However, as explained above, elements 108, 109 together form the substantially planar microlens array that can be provided for a substantially planar imager device herein. Therefore, Kochi et al. discloses all the structures set forth in claims 21 and 27.

Lastly, since the rejection of independent claims 21 and 27 is proper as explained above, the rejection of dependent claims 47-55 still stands accordingly.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/653,222 Page 7

Art Unit: 2815

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am- 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/653,222 Page 8

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PAPENT EXAMINER

Joseph Nguyen

Patent Examiner

July 9, 2007.